



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/517,589	03/03/2000	Masami Hatori	Q56793	5455

7590 11/18/2004
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue N W
Washington, DC 20037

EXAMINER

RODRIGUEZ, ARMANDO

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2828

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/517,589

Applicant(s)

HATORI ET AL.

Examiner

ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ

Art Unit

2828

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 August 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 14 and 33-35 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Claims 1-35 are pending.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 14 and 15, filed August 24, 2004, with respect to claims 33 and 34 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 33 and 34 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see page 14, filed August 24, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 15 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Terahara (PN 6,061,157).

Applicant's arguments filed August 24, 2004, with respect to claims 1-13, 16-32 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments on pages 12-14 pertain to a reasonable expectation of success by the combination of references or figures. Yamamoto et al illustrates in figures 9 and 16, laser devices for wavelength conversion having element number (22), where figure 9 uses a waveguide wavelength conversion element (22) and figure 16 uses a bulk type wavelength conversion element (22) and the reference taken as a whole does suggest the use of a bulk type wavelength conversion elements in other embodiments for wavelength conversion. Furthermore, a newly provided reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Art Unit: 2828

Applicant's arguments on page 12 pertaining to the narrowly focused input beam, it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that when a waveguide type conversion element is used the incident beam will be focused for alignment with the cross section of the waveguide and such alignment is not required for a bulk type wavelength conversion element, as evident by figures 9 and 16 of Yamamoto et al and figures 4 and 13 of Kitaoka et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,10-13,18,19,21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (PN 5,452,312) in view of Sonoda (JP10254001).

Regarding claims 1,3,30,

Figure 9 illustrates a second harmonic generating laser device having a semiconductor laser (21) emitting a fundamental wave (P1), an optical transmitting filter (50) and a light wavelength converting device (22) formed from a substrate having nonlinear optical effects, as shown the filter is located between the laser and the wavelength conversion device. The wavelength conversion device includes a mirror (61) for reflecting the fundamental wavelength, as described in the abstract and column 11 lines 5-37.

Yamamoto et al does not illustrate in figure 9 a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of figure 9 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Regarding claims 10,11,12,13,

Yamamoto et al in figure 9 illustrates a filter (50) but does not explicitly disclose the filter as a thin film narrow band-pass birefringent filter.

However, the use of narrow band-pass filters in form of thin birefringent filters for wavelength selection is well known in the art as illustrated by Sonoda in figure 11 as element (91).

Regarding claim 18,

Figure 9 of Yamamoto et al does illustrate a semiconductor laser (21) coupled with the wavelength conversion device (22).

Regarding claim 19,

Figure 9 illustrates a second harmonic generating laser device having a semiconductor laser (21) emitting a fundamental wave (P1), an optical transmitting filter (50) and a light wavelength converting device (22) formed from a substrate having nonlinear optical effects, as shown the filter is located between the laser and the wavelength conversion device. The wavelength conversion device includes a mirror (61) for reflecting the fundamental wavelength, as described in the abstract and column 11 lines 5-37.

Yamamoto et al does not illustrate in figure 9 a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of figure 9 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Yamamoto et al in figure 9 illustrates a filter (50) but does not explicitly disclose the filter as a thin film narrow band-pass birefringent filter.

Art Unit: 2828

However, the use of narrow band-pass filters for wavelength selection is well known in the art as illustrated by Sonoda in figure 11 as element (91).

Regarding claim 21,

In column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19, Yamamoto et al discloses a bulk-type wavelength conversion composed of LiTaO_3 .

Regarding claim 22,29,31,32,

In column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19, Yamamoto et al discloses a bulk-type wavelength conversion having polarization inversion layers (3), which extend to the end surfaces of the crystal.

Regarding claim 23,

In column 13 lines 45-68, Yamamoto et al discloses using semiconductor laser of 600 mW.

Regarding claim 24,

Applicant's arguments on page 11 describe the single-peak spatial mode, as being well known to one skilled in the art.

Regarding claims 25-27,

In figure 6 Yamamoto et al teaches of a technique to provide light modulation to laser system via the wavelength conversion crystal, as described in column 9 lines 45-55.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of Yamamoto

Art Unit: 2828

et al using the teachings of column 9 lines 45-55 because it would allow for light modulation of the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal.

Regarding claim 28,

In column 1 lines 7-20, Yamamoto et al discloses using the laser device for optical information processing, which requires recording.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use claimed wavelength conversion device within an optical information system, as suggested by Yamamoto et al.

Claims 2-9,16,17,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sonoda (JP10254001) in view of Yamamoto et al.

Regarding claim 2,3,4,

In figure 7 Sonoda illustrates a semiconductor laser (10), a wavelength conversion waveguide (15), a filter (14), a beam splitter (82) for separating the beam and a mirror (85) for reflecting the beam and lens (13) and (20) for converging the beam into the wavelength conversion crystal and receiving the emitted diverging beam. The waveguide and the laser form an external resonant cavity by having a high reflective coating, which reflects the laser light and outputs second harmonic light. The band pass filter will provide wavelength selection.

Sonoda is silent as to using a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of Sonoda in figure 7 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Regarding claims 5,

In figure 9 Sonoda illustrates a semiconductor laser (10), a wavelength conversion waveguide (15), a filter (14) and a mirror (85) to feedback a backward emitted light to the laser in a direction different than towards the wavelength conversion crystal. The waveguide and the laser form an external resonant cavity by having a high reflective coating, which reflects the laser light and outputs second harmonic light. The band pass filter will provide wavelength selection.

Sonoda is silent as to using a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of Sonoda in figure 7 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as

Art Unit: 2828

described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Regarding claims 6,16,17,

In figure 24 Sonoda illustrates a semiconductor laser (10), a wavelength conversion waveguide (15), a lens (40) for collimating the beam into a fiber (23) with grating, which reflects part of the beam and selects the wavelength. The waveguide and the laser form an external resonant cavity by having a high reflective coating, which reflects the laser light and outputs second harmonic light.

Sonoda is silent as to using a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of Sonoda in figure 7 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Regarding claim 7,

Art Unit: 2828

In figure 17 Sonoda illustrates a semiconductor laser (10), a wavelength conversion waveguide (15), a grating (92), which reflects part of the beam and selects the wavelength and a beam splitter (21). The waveguide and the laser form an external resonant cavity by having a high reflective coating, which reflects the laser light and outputs second harmonic light.

Sonoda is silent as to using a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of Sonoda in figure 7 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Regarding claim 8,

Sonoda does illustrate in figure 17 a beam splitter (21), but does not illustrate a light modulation device.

However, in figure 6 Yamamoto et al teaches of a technique to provide light modulation to laser system via the wavelength conversion crystal, as described in column 9 lines 45-55.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of Yamamoto et al using the teachings of column 9 lines 45-55 because it would allow for light modulation of the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal.

Regarding claim 9,

In figure 17 Sonoda illustrates a semiconductor laser (10), a wavelength conversion waveguide (15), a grating (92), to feedback a backward emitted light to the laser in a direction different than towards the wavelength conversion crystal and selects the wavelength. The waveguide and the laser form an external resonant cavity by having a high reflective coating, which reflects the laser light and outputs second harmonic light.

Sonoda is silent as to using a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of Sonoda in figure 7 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Regarding claim 20,

In figure 11 Sonoda illustrates a semiconductor laser (10), a wavelength conversion waveguide (15) and a narrow band-pass filter (91). The waveguide and the laser form an external resonant cavity by having a high reflective coating, which reflects the laser light and outputs second harmonic light.

Sonoda is silent as to using a bulk-shaped wavelength conversion crystal.

In figure 16 Yamamoto et al illustrates the use of bulk-like wavelength conversion device (22), as described in column 13 lines 45-68 to column 14 lines 1-19.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the second harmonic generating device of Sonoda in figure 7 with the bulk-like wavelength conversion crystal of figure 16 as suggested by Yamamoto et al, because the bulk-like crystal (22) provides easy alignment, as described in column 14 lines 15-18. Furthermore, the reference to Kitaoka et al also suggest the use of a waveguide wavelength conversion element or a bulk type wavelength conversion element within the a laser cavity to obtain wavelength conversion (column 1 lines 49-53).

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (PN 5,452,312) in view of Sonoda (JP10254001) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Terahara (PN 6,061,157).

Yamamoto et al discloses a filter (50) as the wavelength selection element, but does not discloses the use of a bulk grating as the wavelength selection element.

Art Unit: 2828

However, in column 6 lines 66-67 and in column 7 lines 1-2 Terhara teaches that a dielectric multilayer filter, a fiber grating and a bulk diffraction grating can be used as a wavelength selection element.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use any of the known optical filters as taught by Terhara within the laser system of Yamamoto et al because it will allow for selection of a desired wavelength.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 14,33,34,35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 14,

None of the cited references discloses the recited structural arrangement of providing on the surface of the reflecting member a thin film narrow band pass filter for wavelength selection.

Regarding claims 33 and 34,

None of the cited prior arts alone or in combination discloses the recited structural arrangement of providing a converging laser beam at the input of a bulk type

Art Unit: 2828

wavelength conversion element and the beam diverging as it exits the bulk type wavelength conversion element.

Regarding claim 35,

None of the cited prior arts alone or in combination discloses the recited structural arrangement of providing a wavelength selecting optical element, which selects a wavelength of the laser beam that is reflected by the end surface of the bulk type wavelength conversion element and where the laser beam enters the end surface of the bulk type wavelength conversion element.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ whose telephone number is 571-272-1952. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MINSUN HARVEY can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



ARMANDO RODRIGUEZ
Examiner
Art Unit 2828



MINSUN HARVEY
SUPERVISOR
Art Unit 2828

AR/MH